IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.16058 OF 2006

DATE OF DECISION: October 16, 2006

M.R. DAV College of Education

….Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Haryana and others

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Rajiv Atma Ram, Senior Advocate with
Shri Hemraj Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Ajai Gulati, AAG, Haryana for respondent No.1.
Shri Balram K. Gupta, Senior Advocate with
Shri Shireesh Gupta, Advocate for respondents
No.2 and 3.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner has informed the Court that since the counselling for admission to B.Ed course was to be conducted by respondent No.3 University and the petitioner College has already been permitted to participate in the counselling process and even the admissions have taken place from the list of students supplied by respondent No.3, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned senior counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, if at any point of time in future any necessity arises, the petitioner College would be at liberty to approach this Court yet again.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

October 16, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge